

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	, CONFIRMATION NO
10/037,045	11/09/2001	James Gimzewski	CH920000071US1	5544
7590 10/20/2003			EXAMINER	
Marian Underweiser International Business Machines Corporation 1101 Kitchawan Road, Route 134 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			STEIN, STEPHEN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	<u>'</u> ' ' ' ' ' '
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>7</i> h				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/037,045	GIMZEWSKI ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J Stein	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	ugust 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) $1-34$ is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-22</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23,24 and 26-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But     See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul><li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li><li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li></ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>				

Application/Control Number: 10/037,045

Art Unit: 1775

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 23, 2 4 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kiang, Ching-Hwa, *Carbon Rings and Cages in the Growth of Single Walled Carbon Nanotubes*, Journal of Chemical Physics, Vol. 13, No 11, 15 Sept. 2000, pp. 4763-4766 (Kiang).
- 4. Kiang teaches a crystal of bundled single walled carbon nanotubes grown on a substrate with C<sub>2</sub> reactions sites and which are approximately identical in orientation and in structure (See Figure 4 and abstract). With regard to the limitations of a display, a switching element and an electrical circuit in claims 29-31, these limitations have not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Application/Control Number: 10/037,045

Art Unit: 1775

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiang.

As stated above, Kiang teaches a crystal of bundled single walled carbon nanotubes grown on a substrate with  $C_2$  reactions sites and which are approximately identical in orientation and in structure.

Although Kiang fails to teach a plurality of these crystals of bundled single walled carbon nanotubes, it has been held that the mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co.*, 193 USPQ.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 17-22 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 8. Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

While the closest prior art or record, Kiang, teaches a crystal of bundled single walled carbon nanotubes grown on a substrate with C<sub>2</sub> reactions sites and which are approximately identical in orientation and in structure, the prior art fails to specifically teach or suggest that the

Application/Control Number: 10/037,045

Art Unit: 1775

claimed substrate is one of molybdenum or thermally oxidized silicon. Further, none of the prior

Page 4

art of record teaches or suggests a precursor arrangement for manufacturing single-walled carbon

nanotubes comprising: a substrate; and at least one pillar located on said substrate, said at least

one pillar in turn comprising alternate layers of a first precursor material comprising fullerene

molecules and a second precursor material comprising a catalyst.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen Stein whose telephone number is (703) 305-0583. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If the

attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can

be reached by dialing (703) 308-3822. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of

this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose phone number

is (703) 308-0661. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final

responses and (703) 872-9311 for after final responses.

October 8, 2003

Stephen J. Stein